Cr 1/8602

(1)

माननीय छत्तीसगढ़ उच्च न्यायालय, बिलासपुर (छ. ग.)

(सैंक ड अपील नम्बर 351 /2005) **SINGLE BENCH**

अपीलार्थी–गण/प्रतिवादीगण .... 1– दीपक वर्मा
वल्द विजेन्द्र प्रसाद
आयु 41 साल,

2– प्रकाश वर्मा
वल्द विजेन्द्र प्रसाद
आयु 36 साल,
प्रोपाइटर– होटल प्रदीप
दोनों निवासी आई. बी. आई.
के पीछे, बलौदा बाजार
जिला रायपुर (छ. ग.)

P.R. No. 1690/05
Presented by Shri Anand Kumar Gupta
dated 13/7/05

– विरूद्ध –

उत्तरवादी–गण/वादी–गण .... मंगतूराम प्रजापति
वल्द ओंकारमल
आयु 48 साल,
(पेटी लेबर ठेकेदार)
निवासी बलौदा बाजार
तहसील बलौदा बाजार
जिला रायपुर (छ. ग.)

सैंकड अपील अन्तर्गत धारा 100 व्यवहार प्र. संहिता

36

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... S.A. No. 351/05 ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	09/11/2005 Shri Shree Kumar Agrawal, Sr.Advocate with Shri Anand Kumar Gupta, counsel for the appellants. Heard on I.A. No. 2017/2005 application under Section 151 of CPC. The appellant has preferred this Second Appeal against the impugned judgment by which a money decree of Rs. 17,000/- has been awarded in favour of the respondent. However, learned counsel for the appellant seeks to withdraw this appeal on the ground that the same is not maintainable as per Section 102 of the CPC, with liberty to file appropriate proceedings available under the law. It is also prayed that the certified copy of the impugned order/judgment be returned to the appellants. In view of the submission on behalf of the counsel for the appellants, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. Office is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order/judgment on the condition that the appellants' furnish authenticated true copy of the same. Consequently I.A. Nos. 2017/2005 & 2018/2005 stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	

SH/. S/2005 - 20,000